



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,623	10/25/2000	Wei Yen	145.1002.02	4379

22883 7590 02/26/2004  
SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC  
P.O. BOX 390013  
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-0013

EXAMINER

LE, HIEU C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2142

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/696,623

Applicant(s)

YEN, WEI

Examiner

Hieu c. Le

Art Unit

2142

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 14 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 12-13 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent from itself (From claim 12). appropriate correction is requested. For examination purpose, claim 12 will be considered to depend from claim 11 which provided proper antecedent basis.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 5-11, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Pasquali ( US Patent 6,321,209 ) in view of Nazem et al ( US Patent 5,983,227 ).

As to claim 1, Pasquali discloses a method of creating a web page that can be used in dynamic system for trading good and services (see title), wherein said the method includes:

interacting with a computer program stored at a remote location using computerized communication system so as to provide said computer program with a first set of information concerning a first provider (Fig. 2, server 210, item 212 ad content source (URL);

Art Unit: 2142

determining a location to display said web page (col. 7, lines 36-57); and displaying said web page (col. 5, line 2-col. 6, lines 25).

Pasquali does not disclose, aggregating said first set of information with at least one second set of information provided by at least one second provider.

Nazem discloses a system and method for combining (aggregating) a multiple web pages including demographic information(first set of information) and stock symbols or weather information (second set of information) (col. 5, lines 35-41);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Nazeme's teaching to modify Pasquali's method by aggregating first set of information with at least one second set of information provided by at least one second provider order to avoid regularly re-entring the same multiplicity of web addresses and to avoid having to navigate through muti-level web sites to reach desired information.

As to claim 2, Pasquali further discloses wherein said step of interacting includes interacting with an application server provider (item 2 (col. 7, lines 1-64).

As to claim 5, Pasquali further discloses wherein said first set of information includes a set of interactive responses to said comnputer program, wherein said interactive responses includes data relating to stylistic and substantive elements included in said web page (col. 5, lines 59-65).

As to claim 6, Nazem further discloses wherein said step of aggregating includes determining a commonality between said first set of information and said second set of information ( col. 5, lines 50-65, Fig. 5A, 5B);

As to claim 7, Pasquali further discloses wherein said step of determining a location includes determining at least one web site (col. 7, lines 36-57).

As to claim 8 , Pasquali further discloses wherein said at least one web site is logically related to said remote location of said computer program (col. 6, lines 3-55).

As to claim 9, Pasquali further discloses including the step of storing said first set of information in a database (item 204, col. 6, lines 56-67).

As to claim 10, Pasquali further discloses including the step of storing said web page in a database (col. 7, lines 1-4).

As to claim 11, refer to claim 1 rejection for their common features. Pasquali further discloses a database coupled to the server, wherein said database includes at least one record regarding a provider (Fig. 2, item 204, col. 6, lines 1-4); and a communication link (col. 6, lines 34-43).

As to claim 14 , Pasquali further discloses wherein said web site and said server are coupled in such a way as to be logically related (col. 6, lines 3-55).

As to claim 15 , Pasquali further discloses wherein said web site displays a plurality of said web pages (col. 5, line 2-col. 6, lines 25).

4. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Art Unit: 2142

over by Pasquali ( US Patent 5,651,006 ) in view of Nazem et al ( US Patent 5,983,227 ), as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Conklin et al. ( US Patent 6,338,050 ).

As to claim 3, Nazem further discloses wherein said first set of information includes demographic information about the first provider (col. 5, lines 36-40).

Neither Pasquali nor Nazem discloses product information about a good or service or type of knowledge that is offered by the first provider.

Conklin discloses a system for information transaction processing which enables collecting demographic information about the first provider and product information about a good or service or type of knowledge that is offered by the first provider (col. 27, lines 24-col. 28, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Conklin's teachings to modify the combined method of Pasquali and Nazem by using product information about the good and service offered by the provider in order to provide appropriate records to document transactions of goods and services.

As to claim 4, Conklin further discloses wherein said demographic information includes information necessary for processing a payment from the first provider (col. 14, lines 1-44).

Art Unit: 2142

***Allowable Subject Matter***

5 Claims 12-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Claim 13 depends on claim 12.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-3101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey, can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Hieu Le

  
JACK B. HARVEY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER